

Scaling properties of high latitude magnetic field data during different magnetospheric conditions

J. A. Wanliss and D. O. Cersosimo

Abstract: We investigate the statistical properties of high-latitude magnetometer data for differing geomagnetic activity. This is achieved by characterizing changes in the nonlinear statistics of the Earth's magnetic field, by means of the Hurst exponent, measured from a single ground-based magnetometer station. The long-range statistical nature of the geomagnetic field at a local observation site can be described as a particular statistical process, viz. a multifractional Brownian motion, thus suggesting the required statistical structure of the mathematical models of magnetospheric activity. We also find that, in general, the average Hurst exponent for quiet magnetospheric intervals is smaller than that for more active intervals.

Key words: Substorms, Storms.

1. Introduction

Ground-based geomagnetic indices [27, 32] and individual magnetometer stations [29, 34, 33] have been used to provide excellent indicators of space weather conditions. Part of the reason for this is the property of the Earth's magnetic field lines to focus and converge as they approach the Earth. These field lines extend far into space and since they are connected to the Earth, nonlinear plasma processes that occur far away are mapped all the way down to the Earth. Observation of ground-based magnetometer stations can thus serve as a remote sensing tool of distant magnetospheric processes.

Over the years, several indices were developed to monitor geomagnetic activity. The most used are the disturbance storm time index (Dst), the planetary index (Kp) and the auroral electrojet index with its variations (AE, AU and AL). These indices provide global information about current magnetospheric activity based on different inputs at different locations around the globe.

If we are interested in the local aspects of geomagnetic activity, i.e. to forecast the geomagnetic conditions for Hydro-Quebec or other power utilities, we need to develop ways to understand the geomagnetic activity in a more localized way. This is especially important since temporal fluctuations of the geomagnetic field depend on geographic location and time [34].

In this paper we extend previous analyses that used global statistics to study the differences between quiet and active magnetospheric times [32, 31], and which were used to suggest the possibility of a first-order like phase transition at space storm onset [30]. But global studies only give average behavior rather than local information. Our goal then is to learn about local behavior of the magnetic field, for differing geomagnetic activity. We will characterize changes in the nonlinear statistics of the Earth's magnetic field, by means of the Hurst exponent, measured from a single ground-based magnetometer station. The changes in statistics can be used as a local indicator of the magnetospheric conditions, which may be useful to develop re-

liable warning and forecasting systems using information not available in geomagnetic indices.

A second objective is to determine the long-range statistical nature of the geomagnetic field at a local observation site. If the time series can be described as a particular statistical process Brownian motion for example then this knowledge can be used for future space weather modeling purposes. The statistical structure of the magnetometer time series will provide key clues for the development of mathematical models.

2. Data

We chose the three hour Kp index to discriminate between different levels of magnetospheric activity. We could have used other indices, for example DST or AE, but we chose Kp since we considered that it, as a mid-latitude index, would best reflect the mean magnetospheric activity. Several methods for the classification of geomagnetic activity using the Kp index have been proposed and used by different authors. [2] used the criteria for selecting quiet and active events based on $Kp \leq 1$ as an indicator of quiet periods, and $Kp \geq 4$ indicates disturbed periods [2, 23]. [11] used Kp to classify several levels of geomagnetic activity in more detailed fashion ranging from small storms to major storms. In this work our interest focuses on two averaged geomagnetic states: active and quiet.

Data selected for quiet times (QT) were based on those periods between 1991 and 2001 where $Kp \leq 1$ for not less than two days. The average length of the quiet events selected was 2.6 days. On the other hand, active events were selected from those periods of time having a $Kp \geq 4$ for no less than a day. Twenty active events matching these criteria were selected with an average length of 2.2 days. The length of each event is determined only by continuous intervals where the Kp matches the criterion. Once the Kp value moves outside the criterion, it sets the boundaries to that particular event. Fig. 1 shows the mean Kp values of all the selected events, active and quiet, chronologically from 1991 to 2001. Most of the active events are close to solar maxima while the majority of the quiet events occur near solar minimum (1997).

We selected 40 events with the given criteria using the CAN-OPUS Fort Churchill magnetometer station (FCHU) as the primary data source. These data have a cadence of 5 (five) seconds. The

Received 12 May 2005.

J. A. Wanliss. ERAU, Daytona Beach 32114, USA.

D. O. Cersosimo. University of Missouri, Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering




Fig. 1. Mean Kp values for the events analyzed from 1991 to 2001 are shown chronologically. The labels on the horizontal axis indicate the year and the start day through the end day using day of year notation.

reason behind the selection of this source is its geographic location (58.76N and 265.91W), which is frequently in the auroral oval. This location has the particular advantage that the data for the selected events are consistent with the location of stations used to make the Kp index, thus the selection criteria would be more likely to accurately discriminate activity levels.

The distribution functions of the magnetic field for QTs and ATs are presented in Fig. 2. In this plot the wider curve characterizes the magnetic field behavior during AT, while the thin curve represents the selected time series during QT.




Fig. 2. Distribution functions for the active and quiet events analyzed. The solid line represents the averaged distributions for the 20 quiet events and the dash-dot line represents the averaged distributions for the 20 active events.

3. Analysis

We employ a detrended fluctuation analysis [22] to determine the statistical nature of the signal. If the signal is fractional Brownian motion (fBm), it exhibits power-law scaling with slope in the frequency domain between 1 and 3. In this case the signal is nonstationary but has stationary increments over a range of scales. For fBm a power spectrum slope $b = 2H + 1$, where H is the scaling exponent also known as the Hurst exponent. The special case where $H=0.5$ indicates Brownian motion. Fig. 3 displays the PSD vs. frequency for a QT (a) and AT (b) for which the average Kp was 0.3 and 5.2 respectively.

To determine the self similarity parameter H we implement detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) developed by [22] and recently implemented in space physics research by [32] and [31]. The technique is designed to determine the scaling exponent of nonstationary signal and provides better precision than the power spectral analysis and other classical techniques.

In DFA the time average of the time series is subtracted from the original series and then it is integrated. Once the series is integrated, it is divided into boxes of equal size n . In each box a linear least squares line is fit to the data, representing the trend of the series in that particular box. The next step is to remove the local trend in each box. The characteristic size of the fluctuations $F(n)$, is then calculated as the root mean squared deviation between the signal and its trend in each box. The process is repeated over all time scales (box sizes). The presence of scaling is indicated by a power-law relationship between $F(n)$ and n .

We analyzed the QT and AT time series from two different approaches. The first approach measures long term correlation for the event, and a single value of the scaling exponent H is obtained for the entire series; this is a time-independent

monofractal approach. Next, we extend this approach to the time-dependent case where the scaling exponent is calculated in patches along the series. Rather than simply probe the existence of correlated behaviour over the entire time series, what we do is find a "local measurement" of the degree of long-range correlations described by the time variations of the scaling exponent. The probe used is the observation box of length 10000 data points; this box is placed at the beginning of the data, and then the scaling exponent is calculated for the data contained in the box. Next, the box is shifted in time one point along the series, and the scaling exponent for the new box is calculated. This procedure is iterated for the entire sequence. This time-dependent approach allows one to consider a the time series dominated by multi-scale processes or multifractional Brownian motion (mfBm). Multifractional Brownian motion is a generalised version of fBm in which the scaling exponent is no longer a constant, but a function of the time index [22]. In this case the increments of mfBm are nonstationary and the process is no longer self-similar.

4. Results

Fig. 4 summarizes the values obtained for H from the monofractal analysis. For this case DFA is applied for the whole event, and no sliding windows are used. Here we can clearly observe that the majority of the events have a value of $H \sim 0.5$, indicating the presence of similar statistical processes in both type of events (QT and AT). We found average H values to be $\langle H_{QT} \rangle = 0.52 \pm 0.06$ and $\langle H_{AT} \rangle = 0.51 \pm 0.05$, implying the presence of a Brownian motion process dominating for the scale length of a particular event. To determine whether these QT and AT average Hurst exponents are significantly different from the null hypothesis that the difference is due purely to randomness we applied the students-t test to the distributions of the Hurst exponent. The important output of the t test is the value of p , which is the probability that the difference in the means of the two distributions being compared is due to random variation. We found $p = 0.78$. This suggests that the statistical differences between the sets are insignificant; although their fluctuations are very different, the overall nonlinear statistics across QT and AT are indistinguishable.

In Fig. 4, results are presented as a function of the event duration showing that intervals of different length have similar scaling exponents ranging from weak antipersistent to weakly




Fig. 3. Power spectral density for the quiet event of 1999, day of year 31 with slope $b = 1.8$ (left) and active event of 1995, day of year 122 with slope $b = 1.9$ (right). The mean Kp values for these QT and AT were 0.3 and 5.2 respectively.




Fig. 4. Distributions of the Hurst exponent for quiet and active events vs. the event length. No direct evidence was found to suggest that the Hurst exponent is affected by the events length. The average Hurst exponent for quiet times is $\langle H_{QT} \rangle = 0.52 \pm 0.06$ and for active times $\langle H_{AT} \rangle = 0.51 \pm 0.05$. The difference is statistically insignificant.

persistent fBm. The fact that most of the events fall near a random walk process is an indicative that long range correlations are not preserved along the time span of any particular event studied and thus become a random walk. These results were unexpected since as shown on Fig. 2, the distributions for quiet and active events encompasses marked differences as the result of different processes dominating the dynamics of the magnetosphere, i.e. during quiet times energy is stored and slowly burned keeping the magnetosphere in a relative low energy state, but during active times higher energy influx from the solar wind causes the magnetosphere to move to higher energy states where stronger nonlinear processes dominate the dynamical release of energy.

In order to find short term correlations we implement DFA using a smaller window size of 10000 data points that slides along the entire event and returns a single value of H for each window view. Tests on artificial data indicate that this method allows one to find correlations that span short periods of time within the event length. The results found now are quite different from the time-independent analysis; on average, we found that ATs have higher mean H values than QTs. The mean self similarity index for QTs was found to be $\langle H(t)_{QT} \rangle = 0.73 \pm 0.05$ and for ATs, $\langle H(t)_{AT} \rangle = 0.87 \pm 0.06$. Indicating that, on average, higher correlation of the magnetic field fluctuations is expected during active magnetospheric periods. Fig. 5 shows the time-dependent distributions of the mean H for QTs and ATs as a function of the event length. In this case the student-t test applied to the time dependent analysis for QT and AT found $p = 2.79e - 9$, implying that the differences in the statistics of the averaged $H(t)$ for the computed quiet and active events are significant.

5. Conclusions

We have presented an attempt to characterize the fractal behavior of the bulk magnetic field time series obtained from a single ground based observatory. Previous works reported the existence of multiscale statistics in a variety of geomagnetic indices [29, 13, 33, 32, 31] and in the interplanetary magnetic field [4, 5], [20] and [7] previously reported changes in stat-




Fig. 5. Distributions of the time average Hurst coefficients for quiet and active events vs. the event length. No direct dependences were found that the average Hurst exponent is affected by the duration of the event. The average Hurst exponent for quiet times is $\langle H_{QT} \rangle = 0.73 \pm 0.05$ and for active times $\langle H_{AT} \rangle = 0.87 \pm 0.06$.

istics of the earth's magnetic field in association with different levels of magnetospheric activity. They examined the scaling properties of the magnetic field fluctuations in the magnetotail and found evidence of multifractality with a Hurst coefficient of $H \sim 0.5$ before current disruption and $H \sim 0.7$ after current disruption.

In this study we classified the data into quiet and active periods using the Kp index as the discriminator. DFA was the technique selected due to its performance in dealing with non-stationary data. In terms of the time-dependent monofractal approach the differences presented between QT and AT are less clear, suggesting that the fingerprints of local magnetic activity are not conserved during the time scale of a particular quiet or active event as determined by our selection criteria. On average, we found $\langle H_{QT} \rangle = 0.52 \pm 0.06$ and $\langle H_{AT} \rangle = 0.51 \pm 0.05$ for quiet and active times respectively. This is indicative that on relatively long timescales both QT and AT are uncorrelated Brownian noise. This led us to consider the prospect that these data are multifractal, i.e. the scaling exponent changes as a function of time.

The possibility of correlated patches led us to investigate shorter window sizes. By sliding this window along the time series we were able to determine the temporal fluctuations in the Hurst exponent for each data set. Averaging all the H values found in each particular event we were able to distinguish clear differences in the statistical processes for both types of events. Results from this approach showed that both QTs and ATs have stronger correlations far from a random walk as was suggested by the previous monofractal approach. The mean Hurst exponent $H(t)$ for quiet events was $\langle H(t)_{QT} \rangle = 0.73 \pm 0.05$ and for active events $\langle H(t)_{AT} \rangle = 0.87 \pm 0.06$. As these results show, the correlation is high while the error in the determination of $H(t)$ is about 6%, and overlap exists between the values of the temporal H for both types of event. The Students-t test returned results consistent with our expectations (i.e., quiet and active event data come from different populations). The time-dependent, or multifractal approach, showed that the statistics of the local magnetic field are not steady and changes through different levels of correlation, indicating that

this correlation increases as the level of geomagnetic activity increases. It appears that the magnetic field at a single high latitude location is best described as a mfBm rather than as a fBm process. This can serve as a guide suggesting the required statistical structure for mathematical models of magnetospheric activity. We also offer a possible explanation relating the physics of QT and AT with their different Hurst exponents. Our results are consistent with [8] who examined scaling properties of magnetic fluctuations in the magnetotail. They consistently found a lower scaling exponent before current disruption, followed by higher values afterward. They interpreted the change in scaling exponent as a reorganization during current disruption.

Further research will focus on the time where a transition from quiet to active event occurs. We do not distinguish between global and local-time effects so future studies will consider how the variability of Hurst exponent is affected by different local time selections. Whether or not local-time effects result in Hurst exponent variability does not affect our major conclusions.

6. Acknowledgments

This material is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. 0449403/0417690. The CANOPUS instrument array was constructed and is maintained and operated by the Canadian Space Agency. We are grateful to them for making high-quality data readily available via the CANOPUS website. SDG.

References

- Allen, J., Frank, L., Sauer, H., Reif, P., Effects of the March 1989 solar activity, *EOS Trans. AGU*, 70, 1479, 1989.
- Bartels J., Discussion of time variations of geomagnetic indices Kp and Ap , *Ann Geophysicae*, 19, 1, 19323-19361, 1963.
- Boteler, D. H., Pirjola R. J., and Nevanlinna H., The effects of geomagnetic disturbances on electrical systems at the earths surface, *Advances in Space Research*, 22, 17-27, 1998.
- Burlaga L. F. and Mish W. H., Large-Scale fluctuation in the interplanetary medium, *J. Geophys. Res.*, v 92, A2, 1261-1266, 1987.
- Burlaga L. F., Multifractal structure of the interplanetary magnetic field, Voyager 2 observations near 25 AU, 1987-1988, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 18(1), 69, 1991.
- Burton, R. K., McPherron R. L. and Russell, C. T., An empirical relationship between interplanetary conditions and Dst, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 80, 4204, 1975.
- Consolini G., De Michelis P., and Meloni, A., Multifractality and punctuated equilibrium in the Earths magnetic field polarity reversals, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 293-296, 2000.
- Consolini, G., and A. T. Y. Lui (2000), Symmetry breaking and nonlinear wave-wave interaction in current disruption: Possible evidence for a phase transition, in *Magnetospheric Current Systems*, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 118, edited by S.-I. Ohtani et al., p. 395, AGU, Washington, D. C.
- Daglis I. A., Kozyra J. U., Kamide Y., Vassiliadis D., Sharma A. S., Liemohn M. W., Gonzalez W. D., Tsurutani B. T., Lu G., Intense space storms: Critical issues and open disputes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, v 108, A5 1208, 2003.

10. Gonzalez, W. D., Joselyn, J. A., Kamide, Y., Kroehl, H. W., Rostoker, G., Tsurutani B. T., and Vasyliunas V. M., What is a geomagnetic storm?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, v 99, A4, 5771-5792, 1994.
11. Gosling J. T., McComas, D. J. Phillips, J. L. and Bame S. J., Geomagnetic activity associated with Earth passage of interplanetary shock disturbances and coronal mass ejections, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 7831, 1991.
12. Hergarten, S., Self-organized criticality in earth systems, *Springer Academic Press*, 49, 2002.
13. Hnat B., Chapman S. C., Rowlands G., Watkins N. W., and Freeman M. P., Scaling in long term data set of geomagnetic indices and solar wind ? as seen by WIND spacecraft, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, v30, 22, 2174-2178, 2003.
14. Huttunen, K. E. J., Koskinen, H. E. J., Pulkkinen, T. I., Pulkkinen A., Palmroth M., Reeves, E. G. D., and Singer H. J., April 2000 magnetic storm: Solar wind driver and magnetospheric response, *J. Geophys. Res.*, v 107, A12, 1440-1461, 2003.
15. Iyemori T. and Hiroshi M., Impulse response of geomagnetic indices to interplanetary magnetic field, *J. Geomag. Geoelectr.*, 31, 1-9, 1979.
16. Kamide Y., Baumjohann W., Daglis I. A., Gonzalez W. D., Grande M., Joselyn J. A. McPherron R. L., Phillips J. L., Reeves E. G. D., Rostoker G., Sharma A. S., Singer H. J., Tsurutani B. T. and Vasyliunas V. M., Current understanding of magnetic storms: Storm-substorm relationships, *J. Geophys. Res.*, v 103, A8, 17705-17728, 1998.
17. Kantelhardt J. W., Zschiegner S. A., Koscielny-Bunde E., Bunde A., Havlin S., and Stanley, H. E., Multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis of nonstationary time series, *Physica A*, 316, 87, 2002.
18. Kivelson, M. and C. T. Russell, *Introduction to Space Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
19. Kugblenu S., Taguchi S. and Okuzawa T., Prediction of the geomagnetic storm associated Dst index using an artificial neural network algorithm, *Earth planets Space*, 51, 307-313, 1999.
20. Ohtani, S., Higuchi T., Lui, A. T. Y., and Takahashi, K., Magnetic fluctuations associated with tail current disruption: Fractal analysis, *J. of Geophysical Research*, 100, A10, 19135-19145, 1995.
21. Peltier, R. and J. Levy Vehel, Multifractional Brownian motion: Definition and preliminary results, *Technical report INRIA 2645*, 1995.
22. Peng, C.-K., S. Havlin, H. E. Stanley, A. L. Goldberger, Quantification of scaling exponents and crossover phenomena in non-stationary heartbeat timeseries, *Chaos*, 5(1), 8287, 1995.
23. Rangarajan, G. K. and Iyemori T, Time variations of geomagnetic activity indices Kp and Ap: an update, *Ann. Geophysicae*, 15, 1271-1290, 1997.
24. Richardson, I. G., Cliver, E. W., Cane, H. V., Sources of geomagnetic storms for solar minimum and maximum conditions during 1972-2000, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 2569, 2001.
25. Russell, C. T., McPherron R. L. and Burton P. K., On the cause of geomagnetic storms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 79, 1105, 1974.
26. Stanley, H. E., Amaral, L. A. N., Goldberger, A. L., Havlin, S., Ivanov, P. Ch., and Peng, C. K., Statistical physics and physiology: monofractal and multifractal approaches, *Physica A*, 270 309-324, 1999.
27. Takalo J., Timonen J., Klimas A., J Valdivia and Vassiliadis D., Nonlinear energy dissipation in a cellular automaton magnetotail field model, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 26, 13, 1813-1816, 1999.
28. Tsurutani, B. T., Gonzalez, W. D., Tang, F., and Lee Y. T., Great magnetic storms, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 19, 1, 73-76, 1992.
29. Vrs Z., On multifractality of high-latitude geomagnetic fluctuations, *Ann. Geophysicae*, 18, 1273-1282, 2000.
30. Wanliss, J. A. and P. Dobias, Space Storm as a Phase Transition, in review *J. Of Physics A.*, 2006.
31. Wanliss, J., Fractal properties of SYM-H during quiet and active times, *J. of Geophysical Research*, 110, A03202, doi:10.1029/2004JA010544, 2005.
32. Wanliss, J. A., Nonlinear variability of SYM-H over two solar cycles, *Earth Planets Space*, 56, e13-e16, 2004.
33. Wanliss and Reynolds, Measurement of the stochasticity of low-latitude geomagnetic temporal variations, *Ann. Geophysicae*, 21, 1-6, 2003.
34. Weigel R. S., Vassiliadis D., Klimas A. J., Coupling of the solar wind to temporal fluctuations in ground magnetic fields, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 19, 1915, 2002.

